Voting Result of the 98th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders

- Date on which the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders (the "Meeting") was held: June 23, 2010
- (2) Details of the items for resolution:

Proposal No. 1	Appropriation of Surplus			
	Matters concerning the distribution of dividend property to the			
	shareholders: JPY 40 per share of the common stocks of the Company.			
Proposal No. 2	Appointment of Seven (7) Directors			
	The appointment of the seven (7) Directors, namely, Akira Kurokawa,			
	Masahiro Mita, Takakazu Morita, Toshiaki Nishihata, Isao Muramatsu,			
	Noboru Kotani, and Tatsuhiko Hamamoto.			
Proposal No. 3	Appointment of Two (2) Corporate Auditors			
	The appointment of the two (2) Corporate Auditors, namely, Yasuo			
	Sato and Yoshihiro Noutsuka.			
Proposal No. 4	Grant of Retirement Allowance to the Retiring Corporate Auditor			
Proposal No. 5	Amendment to the Amount of Director's Remuneration			
Proposal No. 6	Issuance of Rights to Subscribe for New Shares as Stock Options in			
	favor of the Directors			
Proposal No.7	Issuance of Rights to Subscribe for New Shares as Stock Options in			
	favor of the Corporate Officers			
Proposal No.8	Renewal of the Countermeasures to Large-scale Purchases of the			
	Corporation's Shares (Takeover Defense Measures)			

(3) Number of voting rights demonstrating the intent of each holder thereof to approve, dissent from, or abstain from voting on, the items for resolution; the requirements for the passage of the resolution; and the results of the vote:

Items for resolution	Affirmative (Number of	Dissent (Number of	Abstention from voting	Requirement for passage	Result of the vote (Ratio of affirmative
	voting rights)	voting rights)	(Number of voting rights)	of resolution	votes) [Note 4]
Proposal No. 1	699,303	4,311	194	[Note 1]	Approved (98.87%)
Proposal No. 2				[Note 2]	
Akira Kurokawa	695,547	8,126	201		Approved (98.33%)
Masahiro Mita	690,865	12,808	201		Approved (97.67%)
Takakazu Morita	652,022	51,650	201		Approved (92.18%)
Toshiaki Nishihata	685,155	18,518	201		Approved (96.86%)
Isao Muramatsu	688,926	14,747	201		Approved (97.40%)

Noboru Kotani	691,955	11,718	201		Approved (97.83%)
Tatsuhiko Hamamoto	697,624	6,049	201		Approved (98.63%)
Proposal No. 3				[Note 2]	
Yasuo Sato	703,386	287	201		Approved (99.44%)
Yoshihiro Noutsuka	702,563	1,110	201		Approved (99.33%)
Proposal No. 4	530,398	171,918	1,548	[Note 1]	Approved (74.99%)
Proposal No. 5	693,220	10,458	201	[Note 1]	Approved (98.00%)
Proposal No. 6	655,049	48,636	194	[Note 3]	Approved (92.61%)
Proposal No.7	656,462	47,223	194	[Note 3]	Approved (92.81%)
Proposal No.8	509,577	194,103	201	[Note 1]	Approved (72.04%)

- Note 1. The affirmative vote of majority of the shareholders, present at the Meeting, with voting rights and entitled to exercise such voting rights is required.
- Note 2. The attendance of shareholders representing one-third or more of the voting rights of the shareholders entitled to exercise such voting rights, and the affirmative vote of the majority of such shareholders present at the Meeting is required.
- Note 3. The attendance of shareholders representing one-third or more of the voting rights of the shareholders entitled to exercise such voting rights, and the affirmative vote of two-thirds or more of such shareholders present at the Meeting is required.
- Note 4. The ratio of the affirmative votes shows the ratio of the number of voting rights identified as having voted on the affirmative against the total number of voting rights held by the shareholders who were present (including those who had exercised the right prior to the Meeting and those who were present on that day).
- (4) The reason why a part of the number of the voting rights of the shareholders present at the Meeting were no longer added to the number of the voting rights:

Since it was obvious, from a calculation of the total number of voting rights that were exercised prior to the day of the Meeting and the number of the voting rights of the shareholders (among those present that day) identified as having voted either in the affirmative or not with respect to each item, that the resolution was lawfully made pursuant to the Companies Act, and had complied with the requirement for each item for resolution, the number of the voting rights held by the shareholders (among those present that day) that were not identified as having voted either in the affirmative or not for each item, or as having abstained from the voting, were no longer added.